UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,654 06/28/2001		Manoel Tenorio	020431.0841	6772
53184 Booth Udall, PI	7590 02/01/201 LC		EXAMINER	
1155 W Rio Sal Suite 101			CHBOUKI, TAREK	
Tempe, AZ 85281			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

steven@boothudall.com patent@boothudall.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/895,654	TENORIO, MANOEL	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	TAREK CHBOUKI	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an one-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Neveen Abel-Jalil/	/T. C. /						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165	/T. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2165						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to Applicant's arguments stating that the 103(a) reference do not teach or suggest

receive information regarding a source schema and a target schema, the source and target schemas each comprising a taxonomy comprising a hierarchy of classes into which products are categorized, wherein the target schema comprises a different taxonomy then the taxonomy of the source schema, at least the source schema further comprising a product ontology associated with one or more of the classes, each product ontology comprising one or more product attributes". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Livesay discloses: receive information regarding a source schema and a target schema, the source and target schemas each comprising a taxonomy comprising a hierarchy of classes into which products are categorized, at least in Livesay at least discloses (FIG. 5 and paragraph [0019] and [0128], wherein goods are categorized accounting to attributes), Swamy in column 2-3 discloses the creation of XML schema between entities in order to exchange information via document (source and target schema) and defining relatationship between document hence the 103(a) references overcome the claim language.

With respect to Applicant argument stating that the 103(a) reference do not teach or suggest "receive information regarding a source schema and a target schema, the source and target schemas each comprising a taxonomy comprising a hierarchy of classes into which products are categorized", Examiner respectfully disagrees. Livesay discloses (FIG. 5 and paragraph [0019], indicate the plurality of schema (XML data files) (buyer and seller) wherein the profile content grouping parameter is (taxonomy hierarchy of classes)). Furtheremore, Swamy, discloses the creation of XML schema between entities in order to exchange information via document (source and target schema) and defining relatationship between documents and the hierarchy matching.

With respect to Applicant argument stating that the 103(a) reference do not teach or suggest "hierarchy of classes". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Livesay alone discloses (FIG.3B and FIG. 5, wherein the good/service are classified (category/class) and paragraph [0019], illustrate the association of the buyer and seller based on profile content (product ontology) parameter (class)).

Furthermore, Swamy discloses the creation of XML schema between entities in order to exchange information via document (source and target schema) and defining relatationship between documents and the hierarchy matching.